

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addease COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.webjo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/736,474	12/15/2003	Michael P. DeGeorge	CRNI.109894	3478
	7590 11/24/2010 DY & BACON L.L.P.	EXAMINER		
(Cerner Corporation) Intellectual Property Department 2555 GRAND BOULEVARD			WOODS, TERESA S	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
KANSAS CIT	Y, MO 64108-2613	3686		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			11/24/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Application No. Applicant(s) DEGEORGE ET AL. 10/736,474 Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit TERESA WOODS 3686 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period fo	r Reply			
WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any	CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE nations of time may be available under the provision SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this con period for reply is specified above, the maximum re to reply within the set or extended period for rep	MAILING DATE OF 7 ns of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no e nmunication. statutory period will apply and ply will, by statute, cause the a	TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, THIS COMMUNICATION. When thosever, may a reply be timely filled will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. polypication to become ABANDONED (50 U.S.C, § 133). communication, even if timely filled, may reduce any	
Status				
2a)⊠		2b)☐ This action is n for allowance excep		
Dispositi	on of Claims			
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) <u>1-53</u> is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>7,13,14.1</u> Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1-6.8-12.15.16.18-35.42 & Claim(s)</u> is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restr	<u>17,36-41 and 43</u> is/are and 44-53 is/are rejec	eted.	
Applicati	on Papers			
10)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including	e: a) accepted or because in accepted or because it accepted it	b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. I be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Iiired if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.	
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119			
a)[y documents have be y documents have be s of the priority docun ional Bureau (PCT Re	pen received. Hen received in Application No The nents have been received in this National Stage ule 17.2(a)).	
A44b	***			
2) Notic	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08		4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application	

Paper No(s)/Mail Date	_
atent and Trademark Office	
	Paper No(s)/Mail Date

6) Other: _____

Art Unit: 3686 Page 2

DETAILED ACTION

Status of Claims

- 1. This communication is in response to the amendment filed 09/23/10.
- Claims 1, 10-12, 15, 16, 18, 23, 26, 27, 32, 34, 42, 44, 46 and 49-53 have been amended.
- 3. Claim 7, 13, 14, 17, 36-41 and 43 has been cancelled.
- Claims 1-6, 8-12, 15, 16, 18-35, 42 and 44-53 are currently pending and have been examined.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 3686 Page 3

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148
 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- Claims 1-6, 8-12, 15, 20, 23, 24, 26-34, 42, 44 and 50-52 are rejected under 35
 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Osorio (US 2004/0133390 A1) in view of Olson (US 5,999,493 A).

Claim 1:

Osorio, as shown below, discloses the following limitation:

- receiving healthcare information having an associated time and date for a patient (see at least Fig. 1-2, ¶0008, ¶0079).
- determining by a computing device, a time zone source rule that applies to the healthcare information based at least partially on a type of the healthcare information; (see at least ¶0050). Here, the different monitoring equipment serves as computed healthcare information.
- obtaining by the computing device, a time zone source rule that applies to the
 healthcare information, wherein the time zone source rule comprises one or more
 of a patient's time zone rule, a user's time zone rule, a user entered time zone
 rule, and a system's time zone rule (see at least Fig. 14-16, ¶0043, ¶0046,
 ¶0079, ¶0083). Osorio's patient implantable and bedside devices are a means for
 obtaining healthcare information.
- utilizing <u>by the computing device</u>, the time zone source rule to determine a time zone for the time and date associated with the healthcare information (see at least ¶0083). Here, the synchronization of the clock teaches a time zone source and rule

Art Unit: 3686 Page 4

storing the time zone with the healthcare information (see at least ¶0081, ¶0083).
 In the first citation, the stored time data for the implanted device serves as healthcare information.

Olson, further discloses:

- converting <u>by the</u> computing device the time and date associated with the healthcare information into coordinated universal format (see at least column 1, line 56 to column 2, line 5, column 5, line 3-5). In the first citation, the medical signals send healthcare information.
- storing <u>in one ore more computer-readable media</u>, the time and date in coordinated universal format with the healthcare information (see at least column 1, line 56 to column 2, line 5, column 5, line 3-5).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time of the invention, to have modified the method of Osario so as to have included the coordinated universal format features of Olson to comprehensively synchronize shared patient healthcare data among a medical professional network when diagnosing to have improved the efficiency of the method, since so doing could be performed readily and easily by any person of ordinary skill in the art, with neither undue experimentation, nor risk of unexpected results.

9 Claim 2:

Osario and Olson disclose the limitations as shown in the rejection above.

However, Osario further discloses wherein the time zone source rule <u>comprises</u>

the patient's time zone rule and applies the time zone of the location of the

Art Unit: 3686 Page 5

patient (see at least Fig. 14-16, ¶0009, ¶0075, ¶0079, ¶0083, ¶0194).

10. Claim 3:

Osario and Olson disclose the limitations as shown in the rejection above. However, Osario further discloses determining whether the patient location is available and if so, obtaining the time zone associated with the patient location (see at least ¶0009, ¶0075, ¶0083, ¶0194).

11. Claim 4:

Osario and Olson disclose the limitations as shown in the rejection above.

However, Osario further discloses wherein if the patient location is not available, determining whether the time zone is specified by an interface (see at least ¶0079, ¶0083, ¶0194).

12. Claim 5:

Osario and Olson disclose the limitations as shown in the rejection above. However, Osario further discloses wherein if the time zone is not specified by the interface, applying the time zone of an end user (see at least Fig. 16, ¶0081-¶0083).

Art Unit: 3686 Page 6

13. Claim 6:

Osario and Olson disclose the limitations as shown in the rejection above.

However, Osario further discloses wherein the time zone source rule <u>comprises</u>

the user entered time zone rule and applies is to apply a user-entered time zone
(see at least Fig. 16, ¶0081-¶0083).

14. Claim 8:

Osario and Olson disclose the limitations as shown in the rejection above. However, Osario further discloses wherein the time zone source rule is the user's time zone rule and applies to apply the time zone of the location associated with a user entering the healthcare information for a patient (see at least Fig. 14-16, ¶0079-¶0083, ¶0194).

15. Claim 9:

Osario and Olson disclose the limitations as shown in the rejection above. However, Osario further discloses obtaining the user location and time zone of the user location (see at least ¶0083).

16. Claim 10:

Osario and Olson disclose the limitations as shown in the rejection above.

However, Osario further discloses wherein the healthcare information is one or

Art Unit: 3686 Page 7

more clinical event results, and wherein the time zone source rule that applies to the healthcare information is the patient's time zone rule (see at least ¶0050, ¶0079-¶0083). In the first citation, the different monitoring equipment serves as computed healthcare information.

17. Claim 11:

Osario and Olson disclose the limitations as shown in the rejection above. However, Osario further discloses wherein the healthcare information is one or more user interactions with the system and wherein the time zone source rule that applies to the healthcare information is the user's time zone rule (see at least ¶0009, ¶0074-¶0077).

18. Claim 12:

Osario and Olson disclose the limitations as shown in the rejection above. However, Osario further discloses wherein the healthcare information is patient and historical information for the patient and wherein the time zone source rule that applies to the healthcare information is the user's time zone rule (see at least ¶0009, ¶0114).

Art Unit: 3686 Page 8

19 Claim 15:

Osario and Olson disclose the limitations as shown in the rejection above. However, Olson further discloses accessing a database (see at least Fig. 1, column 2, lines 26-35). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time of the invention, to have modified the method of Osario so as to have included the database of Olson to comprehensively store shared patient healthcare data among a medical professional network when diagnosing to have improved the efficiency of the method, since so doing could be performed readily and easily by any person of ordinary skill in the art, with neither undue experimentation, nor risk of unexpected results.

Claims 19, 21, 35 and 45 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Osorio (US 2004/0133390 A1) in view of Olson (US 5,999,493 A) further in view of Ellis (US 2004/0102931 A1).

21. Claim 19:

Osario and Olson disclose the limitations as shown in the rejection above. However, Ellis further discloses wherein the time zone of the user location is the determined by accessing a staff scheduling database (see at least ¶0025-¶0026, ¶0061). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art,

Art Unit: 3686 Page 9

at the time of the invention, to have modified the method of Osario and Olson so as to have included the database, scheduling and time zone features of Ellis to comprehensively store and share patient healthcare data among a medical professional network when diagnosing to have improved the efficiency of the method, since so doing could be performed readily and easily by any person of ordinary skill in the art, with neither undue experimentation, nor risk of unexpected results.

22. Claim 20:

Osario and Olson disclose the limitations as shown in the rejection above. However, Osario further discloses wherein the time zone of the user location is based on the location of a user device (see at least Fig. 1, Fig. 7, Fig. 16, ¶0054, ¶0059, ¶0081, ¶0083).

23. Claim 21:

Osario and Olson disclose the limitations as shown in the rejection above. However, Ellis further discloses wherein the time zone of the user location is the user login preference (see at least ¶0231, ¶0291). In the first citation, the required entries for authorized users serve as the user login preference. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the

Art Unit: 3686 Page 10

time of the invention, to have modified the method of Osario and Olson so as to have included the log-in and time zone features of Ellis to comprehensively store and share patient healthcare data among a medical professional network when diagnosing to have improved the efficiency of the method, since so doing could be performed readily and easily by any person of ordinary skill in the art, with neither undue experimentation, nor risk of unexpected results.

24 Claim 35:

Osario and Olson disclose the limitations as shown in the rejection above. However, Ellis further discloses a second obtaining module for obtaining the user location from a staff scheduling database (see at least ¶0025-¶0026, ¶0061). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time of the invention, to have modified the method of Osario and Olson so as to have included the staff scheduling and user location features of Ellis to comprehensively store and share patient healthcare data among a medical professional network when diagnosing to have improved the efficiency of the method, since so doing could be performed readily and easily by any person of ordinary skill in the art, with neither undue experimentation, nor risk of unexpected results.

Art Unit: 3686 Page 11

25 Claim 45:

Osario and Olson disclose the limitations as shown in the rejection above. However, Ellis further discloses wherein the determining module determines the location of the user by accessing a staff scheduling database (see at least ¶0025-¶0026, ¶0061). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time of the invention, to have modified the method of Osario and Olson so as to have included the staff scheduling and user location features of Ellis to comprehensively store and share patient healthcare data among a medical professional network when diagnosing to have improved the efficiency of the method, since so doing could be performed readily and easily by any person of ordinary skill in the art, with neither undue experimentation, nor risk of unexpected results.

 Claim 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Osorio (US 2004/0133390 A1) in view of Olson (US 5,999,493 A) further in view of Wilcox (US 2005/0002483 A1).

27. Claim 22:

Osario and Olson disclose the limitations as shown in the rejection above. However, Wilcox further discloses *wherein the time zone of the user location is determined by the server device setup* (see at least Fig. 2, ¶0016). Therefore, it

Art Unit: 3686 Page 12

would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time of the invention, to have modified the method of Osario and Olson so as to have included the server setup device of Wilcox to comprehensively store and share patient healthcare data among a medical professional network when diagnosing to have improved the efficiency of the method, since so doing could be performed readily and easily by any person of ordinary skill in the art, with neither undue experimentation, nor risk of unexpected results.

 Claims 21, 35 and 45 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Olson (US 5,999,493 A) in view of Osorio (US 2004/0133390 A1) further in view of Ellis (US 2004/0102931 A1).

29. Claim 24:

Olson and Osario disclose the limitations as shown in the rejection above. However, Olson further discloses *obtaining the stored date and time in Coordinated Universal Time* (see at least column 1, line 56 to column 2, line 5, column 5. line 3-5).

30. Claim 53:

Olson, as shown below, discloses the following limitation:

 receiving a request for healthcare information and <u>information having an</u> <u>associated</u> date and time wherein the associated date and time are stored in a

Art Unit: 3686 Page 13

universal time format (see at least column 1, line 56 to column 2, line 5, column 5, lines 1-5).

 converting the associated date and time from the universal time format to an equivalent time based on the time zone (see at least column 1, line 56 to column 2, line 5, column 5, lines 1-5).

Osario, further discloses:

- obtaining the healthcare information and the stored <u>associated</u> date and time obtaining a time zone stored for the healthcare information (see at least Fig. 15, ¶0008, ¶0079).
- displaying the date and time for the healthcare information in the <u>equivalent time</u> <u>based on the time</u> zone (see at least Fig. 15, ¶0008, ¶0079, ¶0083).
- obtaining a time zone stored for the healthcare information, wherein the time zone stored for the healthcare information is a result of applying one or more of a patient's time zone rule, a user's time zone rule, a user'entered time zone rule, and a system's time zone rule to the stored date and time based on a type of the healthcare information, and wherein the patient's time zone rule applies at least to a type of healthcare information that includes results of one or more clinical events associated with the patient, the user's time zone rule applies at least to a type of healthcare information system, the user-entered time zone rule applies at least to a type of healthcare information that includes data for which a time zone basis cannot be assumed, and the system's time zone rule applies at least to a type of healthcare information that includes data for which a time zone basis cannot be assumed, and the system's time zone rule applies at least to a type of healthcare information that includes data associated with processing of the healthcare information by the healthcare information system (see at least Fig. 2, ¶0043, ¶0047, ¶0054, ¶0083).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time of the invention, to have modified the method of Olson so as to have included the stored healthcare information according to the time zone feature of Osario to comprehensively synchronize shared patient healthcare data among a medical professional network when diagnosing to have improved the efficiency of the method, since so doing could be performed readily and easily by any person

Art Unit: 3686 Page 14

of ordinary skill in the art, with neither undue experimentation, nor risk of unexpected results.

31. Claim 26:

Osario, as shown below, discloses the following limitation:

- a receiving module for receiving healthcare information for a <u>patient</u>, the <u>healthcare information</u> having an associated time and date (see at least Fig. 14-16, ¶0043, ¶0046, ¶0079, ¶0083).
- an obtaining module for obtaining the time zone source rule that applies to the healthcare information, wherein the time zone source rule comprises one or more of a patient's time zone rule, a user's time zone rule, a user entered time zone rule, and a system's time zone rule (see at least ¶0008, ¶0079, ¶0081, ¶0083).
- a utilizing module for utilizing the time zone source rule to determine a time zone for the time and date associated with the healthcare information (see at least Fig. 14-16, ¶0043, ¶0046, ¶0079, ¶0083).

Olson, further discloses:

- a converting module for <u>causing a computing device to convert</u> the time and date associated with the healthcare information into coordinated universal format (see at least column 1, line 56 to column 2, line 5, column 5, lines 1-5).
- a storing module for storing in a computing memory, the time zone and the time and date associated with the healthcare information, wherein the time and date are in coordinated universal format (see at least column 1, line 56 to column 2, line 5. column 5, lines 1-5).
- an accessing module for accessing a database to determine, based at least partially on a type of healthcare information, a time zone source rule that applies to the healthcare information (see at least Fig. 1, column 2, lines 26-3).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time of the invention, to have modified the method of Osario so as to have

Art Unit: 3686 Page 15

included the coordinated universal format features of Olson to comprehensively synchronize shared patient healthcare data among a medical professional network when diagnosing to have improved the efficiency of the method, since so doing could be performed readily and easily by any person of ordinary skill in the art, with neither undue experimentation, nor risk of unexpected results.

32. Claim 27:

Osario and Olson disclose the limitations as shown in the rejection above. However, Osario further discloses wherein the time zone source rule <u>comprises</u> <u>the patient's time zone rule and</u> applies the time zone of the location of the patient (see at least ¶0079, ¶0083, ¶0194).

33. Claim 28:

Osario and Olson disclose the limitations as shown in the rejection above. However, Osario further discloses a determining module for determining whether the patient location is available and if so, obtaining the time zone associated with the patient location (see at least Fig. 14-16, ¶0009, ¶0075, ¶0079, ¶0083, ¶0194).

Art Unit: 3686 Page 16

34. Claim 29:

Osario and Olson disclose the limitations as shown in the rejection above.

However, Osario further discloses wherein if the patient location is not available, determining whether the time zone is specified by an interface (see at least ¶0194). Here, the GPS determines the patient's location.

35. Claim 30:

Osario and Olson disclose the limitations as shown in the rejection above. However, Osario further discloses wherein if the time zone is specified by the interface, storing the time zone for the healthcare information (see at least Fig. 14-16, ¶0009, ¶0079, ¶0083, ¶0194).

36. Claim 30:

Osario and Olson disclose the limitations as shown in the rejection above. However, Osario further discloses wherein if the time zone is not specified by the interface, applying the time zone of an end user (O, see at least ¶0009, ¶0079, ¶0083, ¶0204, ¶0226; Claim 21).

37. Claim 32:

Osario and Olson disclose the limitations as shown in the rejection above.

However, Osario further discloses wherein the time zone rule comprises the use

Art Unit: 3686 Page 17

entered time zone rule and applies is to apply a user-entered time zone (O, see at least ¶0009, ¶0079, ¶0083, ¶0204, ¶0226; Claim 21).

38. Claim 33:

Osario and Olson disclose the limitations as shown in the rejection above. However, Osario further discloses wherein the time zone entered by the user is stored as entered by the user (see at least ¶0009, ¶0079, ¶0083, ¶0204, ¶0226; Claims 21 & 34).

39. Claim 34:

Osario and Olson disclose the limitations as shown in the rejection above. However, Osario further discloses wherein, the healthcare information is of a type that includes at least data produced by an interaction between a user and a healthcare information system, and wherein the time zone source rule comprises the user's time zone rule and applies is to apply the time zone of the location of a user entering the healthcare information for a patient (see at least ¶0009, ¶0014, ¶0079, ¶0083, ¶0204, ¶0226; Claim 21).

Art Unit: 3686 Page 18

40 Claim 42:

Osario, as shown below, discloses the following limitation:

a determining module for determining. <u>based at least partially on the type of the healthcare information that the time zone of the patient location applies to healthcare information, and determining the time zone of the patient location (see at least ¶0050, ¶0081, ¶0083).
</u>

Olson, further discloses:

- a converting module for converting the associated date and time element into universal time format (see at least column 1, line 56 to column 2, line 5, column 5, line 3-5).
- a storing module for storing the time zone of the patient location and the associated date and time element for the healthcare information, wherein the associated date and time are stored in universal time format (see at least column 1, line 56 to column 2, line 5, column 5, line 3-5).

Wilcox, further discloses:

 a receiving module for receiving healthcare information for a patient that has an associated date and time element, <u>wherein the healthcare information is of a type</u> that includes at least results of one or more clinical events associated with the <u>patient</u> (W, see at least Fig. 1, Fig. 2, ¶0009, ¶0014).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time of the invention, to have modified the method of Osario so as to have included the coordinated universal format features of Olson and the resulting clinical events of Wilcox to comprehensively synchronize shared patient healthcare data among a medical professional network when diagnosing to have improved the efficiency of the method, since so doing could be performed readily and easily by any person of ordinary skill in the art, with neither undue experimentation, nor risk of unexpected results.

Art Unit: 3686 Page 19

41 Claim 44:

Osario, as shown below, discloses the following limitation:

- a receiving module for receiving healthcare information from a user for a patient, the healthcare information having an associated date and time element <u>and</u> <u>being of a type that includes at least data produced by an interaction between a</u> <u>user and the system</u> (see at least Fig. 1-2, ¶0008, ¶0047, ¶0079). In the second citation, the other forms of communication allow an interaction between a user and the system.
- a determining module for determining, <u>based at least partially on the type of the</u> <u>healthcare information that the time zone of the patient location applies to</u> <u>healthcare information, and determining</u> the time zone of the location of a user (see at least Fig. 1, Fig. 7, Fig. 16, ¶0050, ¶0054, ¶0059, ¶0081, ¶0083).

Olson, further discloses:

- a converting module for converting the associated date and time element into coordinated universal format (see at least column 1, line 56 to column 2, line 5, column 5, line 3-5).
- a storing module for storing the time zone of the user for the healthcare information and the associated date and time element, <u>wherein the associated</u> <u>date and time are stored</u> in coordinated universal format (see at least column 1, line 56 to column 2. line 5, column 5. line 3-5).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time of the invention, to have modified the method of Osario so as to have included the coordinated universal format features of Olson to comprehensively synchronize shared patient healthcare data among a medical professional network when diagnosing to have improved the efficiency of the method, since so

Art Unit: 3686 Page 20

doing could be performed readily and easily by any person of ordinary skill in the art, with neither undue experimentation, nor risk of unexpected results.

42. Claim 50:

Osario, as shown below, discloses the following limitation:

- receiving a first item of healthcare information having an associated time and date for a patient (see at least Fig. 15, ¶0008, ¶0079).
- obtaining a first time source zone rule that applies to the first item of healthcare information, <u>based at least partially on the type of first item healthcare information</u> (see at least Fig. 14-16, ¶0043, ¶0046, ¶0050, ¶0079, ¶0083).
- utilizing the first time zone source rule at a computing device to determine a first time zone for the time and date associated with the first item of healthcare information (see at least Fig. 14-16, ¶0043, ¶0046, ¶0079, ¶0083).
- converting at the computing device the time and date associated with the first item of healthcare information into a universal time format (see at least Fig. 14-16, ¶0043, ¶0046, ¶0079, ¶0083).
- storing the first time zone (see at least Fig. 1-2, ¶0008, ¶0079, ¶0123).
- receiving a second item of healthcare information having an associated time and date for the same patient (see at least Fig. 14-16, ¶0043, ¶0046, ¶0079, ¶0083, 0194).
- obtaining a second time zone source rule that applies to the second item of healthcare information (see at least Fig. 14-16, ¶0043, ¶0046, ¶0079, ¶0083).
- utilizing the second time zone source rule at a computing device to determine a second time zone for the time and date associated with the second item of healthcare information (see at least Fig. 14-16, ¶0043, ¶0046, ¶0079, ¶0083).
- storing the second time zone (see at least Fig. 1-2, ¶0008, ¶0079, ¶0123).
- obtaining the stored universal time format for the first and second time zones associated with the first and second items of healthcare information for the

Art Unit: 3686 Page 21

patient <u>based at least partially on the type of second item healthcare information</u> (see at least Fig. 14-16, ¶0043, ¶0046, ¶0050 ¶0079, ¶0083).

Olson, further discloses:

- storing the time and date converted to universal time format associated with the first item of healthcare information (see at least column 1, line 56 to column 2, line 5, column 2, lines 26-33, column 5, lines 1-5).
- converting the time and date associated with the second item of healthcare information into a universal time format (see at least column 1, line 56 to column 2, line 5, column 5, lines 1-5).
- storing the time and date converted to universal time format associated with the second item of healthcare information (see at least column 1, line 56 to column 2, line 5, column 5, lines 1-5).
- applying the stored <u>first and second time</u> zone to the stored universal time format for the first and second items of healthcare information (see at least column 1, line 56 to column 2, line 5, column 5, lines 1-5).
- displaying the first and second items of healthcare information sequential order based on the stored universal time format for each item, wherein the time and date for the first and second items of healthcare information are displayed in the respective first and second time zones (see at least column 1, line 56 to column 2, line 5, column 5, line 3-5 and 22-33).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time of the invention, to have modified the method of Olson so as to have included the coordinated universal format and stored healthcare information according to the time zone and feature of Osario to comprehensively synchronize shared patient healthcare data among a medical professional network when diagnosing to have improved the efficiency of the method, since so doing could be performed readily and easily by any person of ordinary skill in the art, with neither undue experimentation, nor risk of unexpected results.

Art Unit: 3686 Page 22

43. Claim 51:

Osario, as shown below, discloses the following limitation:

- receiving healthcare information for a patient that has an associated date and time element (see at least Fig. 15, ¶0008, ¶0079).
- determining, <u>based on the user's time zone rule</u> a time zone of the patient location (see at least Fig. 14-16, ¶0009, ¶0075, ¶0079, ¶0083, ¶0194).
- storing the time zone of the patient location (see at least Fig. 14-16, ¶0009, ¶0075, ¶0079, ¶0083, ¶0194).

Olson, further discloses:

- converting at a computing device the associated date and time element into coordinated universal format (see at least column 1, line 56 to column 2, line 5, column 5, lines 1-5).
- <u>storing</u> the date and time element in coordinated universal format for the healthcare information (see at least column 1, line 56 to column 2, line 5, column 5, lines 1-5).

Wilcox, further discloses:

determining based on at least partially on a type of the healthcare information
that a user's time zone rule applies to the healthcare information, wherein the
user's time zone rule applies to the type of healthcare information that includes
data produced by an interaction between a user and the system (W, see at least
Fig. 1, Fig. 2, ¶0009, ¶0014).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time of the invention, to have modified the method of Osario so as to have included the coordinated universal format features of Olson and the resulting clinical events of Wilcox to comprehensively synchronize shared patient healthcare data among a medical professional network when diagnosing to have

Art Unit: 3686 Page 23

improved the efficiency of the method, since so doing could be performed readily and easily by any person of ordinary skill in the art, with neither undue experimentation, nor risk of unexpected results.

44. Claim 52:

Osario, as shown below, discloses the following limitation:

- receiving healthcare information from a user for a patient, the healthcare information having an associated date and time element (see at least Fig. 15, ¶0008, ¶0079).
- determining, <u>based on the user's time zone rule</u>, the time zone of the location of the user (see at least Fig. 14-16, ¶0009, ¶0050, ¶0075, ¶0079, ¶0083, ¶0194).
- storing the time zone of the user (see at least Fig. 1-2, ¶0008, ¶0079, ¶0123).
- determining based on at least partially on a type of the healthcare information
 that a user's time zone rule applies to the healthcare information, wherein the
 user's time zone rule applies to the type of healthcare information that includes
 data produced by an interaction between a user and the system (see at least Fig.
 1-2, ¶0008, ¶0047, ¶0079). In the second citation, the other forms of
 communication allow an interaction between a user and the system.

Olson, further discloses:

- converting at a computing device the associated date and time element into coordinated universal format (see at least column 1, line 56 to column 2, line 5, column 5, lines 1-5).
- <u>storing</u> the date and time element in coordinated universal format (see at least column 1, line 56 to column 2, line 5, column 5, lines 1-5).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time of the invention, to have modified the method of Osario so as to have

Art Unit: 3686 Page 24

included the coordinated universal format features of Olson to comprehensively synchronize shared patient healthcare data among a medical professional network when diagnosing to have improved the efficiency of the method, since so doing could be performed readily and easily by any person of ordinary skill in the art, with neither undue experimentation, nor risk of unexpected results.

 Claims 16, 18, 23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Osorio (US 2004/0133390 A1) in view of Olson (US 5,999,493 A) further in view of Wilcox (US 2005/0002483 A1).

46. Claim 16:

Osorio, as shown below, discloses the following limitation:

- receiving healthcare information for a patient that has an associated date and time element (see at least Fig. 1-2, ¶0008, ¶0079).
- determining the time zone of the patient location (see at least ¶0075, ¶0083, ¶0194).
- storing the time zone of the patient location for the healthcare information (see at least ¶0081, ¶0083).

Olson, further discloses:

 converting at a computing device the associated date and time element into universal time format (see at least column 1, line 56 to column 2, line 5, column 5, line 3-5).

Art Unit: 3686 Page 25

 storing the associated date and time element in universal time format (see at least column 1, line 56 to column 2, line 5, column 5, line 3-5).

Wilcox, further discloses:

 determining, based on at least partially on a type of the healthcare information, that a patient's time zone rule applied to the healthcare information, wherein the patient's time zone rule applies to the type of healthcare information that includes results of one or more clinical events associated with the patient (W, see at least Fig. 1, Fig. 2, ¶0009, ¶0014).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time of the invention, to have modified the method of Osario so as to have included the coordinated universal format features of Olson and the resulting clinical events of Wilcox to comprehensively synchronize shared patient healthcare data among a medical professional network when diagnosing to have improved the efficiency of the method, since so doing could be performed readily and easily by any person of ordinary skill in the art, with neither undue experimentation, nor risk of unexpected results.

47. Claim 18:

Osorio, as shown below, discloses the following limitation:

 receiving healthcare information from a user for a patient, the healthcare information having an associated date and time element (see at least Fig. 1-2, ¶0008. ¶0079).

Art Unit: 3686 Page 26

 determining, based on the user's time zone rule, the time zone of the location of the user (see at least Fig. 1, Fig. 7, Fig. 16, ¶0054, ¶0059, ¶0081, ¶0083). In the third citation, the programmer (item #109) serves as the user.

storing <u>by the computing device</u>, the time zone of the user location <u>in one ore more computer-readable media</u> (see at least ¶0081, ¶0083).

Olson, further discloses:

- converting at a computing device the associated date and time element into coordinated universal format (see at least column 1, line 56 to column 2, line 5, column 5, line 3-5).
- <u>storing</u> the date and time element in coordinated universal format (see at least column 1, line 56 to column 2, line 5, column 5, line 3-5).

Wilcox, further discloses:

 determining, based on at least partially on a type of the healthcare information, that a user's time zone rule applies to the healthcare information, wherein the user's time zone rule applies to the type of healthcare information that includes data produced by an interaction between a user and a healthcare information system (W, see at least Fig. 1, Fig. 2, ¶0009, ¶0014).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time of the invention, to have modified the method of Osario so as to have included the coordinated universal format features of Olson and the resulting clinical events of Wilcox to comprehensively synchronize shared patient healthcare data among a medical professional network when diagnosing to have improved the efficiency of the method, since so doing could be performed readily and easily by any person of ordinary skill in the art, with neither undue experimentation, nor risk of unexpected results.

Art Unit: 3686 Page 27

48 Claim 23:

Olson, as shown below, discloses the following limitation:

- receiving a request for healthcare information for a patient, the healthcare information including an associated date and time for the healthcare information wherein the associated date and time are stored in a coordinated universal format (see at least column 1, line 56 to column 2, line 5, column 5, lines 1-5).
- converting <u>by a computing device</u> the associated date and time from the coordinated universal format to an equivalent time based on the time zone (see at least column 1, line 56 to column 2, line 5, column 5, lines 1-5).
- displaying <u>by a computing device on a associated display device</u>, the date and time for the healthcare information in the equivalent time for the time zone (see at least Fig. 4, column 5, line 3-5 and 22-33).

Osario, further discloses:

 obtaining the healthcare information and the <u>associated</u> date and time (see at least Fig. 14-16, ¶0043, ¶0046, ¶0079, ¶0083).

Wilcox, further discloses:

obtaining the time zone stored for the healthcare information, wherein the time
zone stored in the healthcare information is the result of applying one ore more of
a patient's time zone rule, a user's time zone rule, a user-entered time zone rule,
and a system's time zone rule to associate date and time based on a type of the
healthcare information (see at least Fig. 1-3, ¶0009, ¶0014).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time of the invention, to have modified the method of Olson so as to have included the date and time of healthcare information feature of Osario and the time zone rules of Wilcox to comprehensively synchronize shared patient healthcare data among a medical professional network when diagnosing to have improved the efficiency of the method, since so doing could be performed readily

Art Unit: 3686 Page 28

and easily by any person of ordinary skill in the art, with neither undue experimentation, nor risk of unexpected results.

 Claim 25 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Olson (US 5,999,493 A) in view of Osorio (US 2004/0133390 A1) further in view of Overton (US 2003/0065653 A).

50. Claim 25:

Olson and Osario disclose the limitations as shown in the rejection above. However, Overton further discloses displaying the healthcare information for the patient in chronological order (see at least column 6, lines 7-28). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time of the invention, to have modified the method of Olson and Osario so as to have included the chronological order feature of Overton to comprehensively synchronize patient healthcare data among a medical professional network when diagnosing to have improved the efficiency of the method, since so doing could be performed readily and easily by any person of ordinary skill in the art, with neither undue experimentation, nor risk of unexpected results.

Art Unit: 3686 Page 29

 Claims 46 and 49 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Osorio (US 2004/0133390 A1) in view of Olson (US 5,999,493 A) further in view of Wilcox (US 2005/0002483 A1).

52. Claim 46:

Osario, as shown below, discloses the following limitation:

- a receiving module for receiving a request for healthcare information for a <u>patient, the healthcare information including an associated</u> date and time (see at least Fig. 14-16, ¶0043, ¶0046, ¶0079, ¶0083, ¶0112). In the fifth citation, the physician requests neurological healthcare information.
- an obtaining module for obtaining the healthcare information and the stored date and time (see at least ¶0008, ¶0079, ¶0081, ¶0083).
- a second obtaining module for obtaining a time zone stored for the healthcare information, wherein the time zone stored for the healthcare information is a result of applying one or more of a patient's time zone rule, a user's time zone rule. a user-entered time zone rule, and a system's time zone rule to the stored date and time based on a type of the healthcare information, and wherein the patient's time zone rule applies at least to a type of healthcare information that includes results of one or more clinical events associated with the patient, the user's time zone rule applies at least to a type of healthcare information that includes data produced by an interaction between a user and a healthcare information system, the user-entered time zone rule applies at least to a type of healthcare information that includes data for which a time zone basis cannot be assumed, and the system's time zone rule applies at least to a type of healthcare information by the healthcare information system (see at least Fig. 2, ¶0043, ¶0047, ¶0054, ¶0083).
- (see at least ¶0008, ¶0079, ¶0081, ¶0083).

Wilcox, further discloses:

Art Unit: 3686 Page 30

 a displaying module for displaying the date and time for the healthcare information in the stored time zone (see at least Fig. 1, Fig. 3, ¶0014, ¶0017).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time of the invention, to have modified the method of Osario so as to have included the stored information associated with a time zone feature of Wilcox to comprehensively synchronize shared patient healthcare data among a medical professional network when diagnosing to have improved the efficiency of the method, since so doing could be performed readily and easily by any person of ordinary skill in the art, with neither undue experimentation, nor risk of unexpected results.

53. Claim 49:

Osario, as shown below, discloses the following limitation:

- means for receiving healthcare information having an associated date and time for a patient (see at least Fig. 1-2, ¶0008, ¶0079).
- means for obtaining a time zone source rule that applies to the healthcare
 information wherein the time zone source rule is one is one of a patient's time
 zone rule, a user's time zone rule, a user-entered time zone rule, and a system's
 time zone rule and wherein obtaining the time zone source rule includes
 determining based, at least partially, on a type of the healthcare information the
 time zone source rule that applies to the healthcare information (see at least Fig.
 14-16, ¶0043, ¶0046-47, ¶0054, ¶0079, ¶0083).
- means for utilizing the time zone <u>source</u> rule to determine a time zone for the time and date associated with the healthcare information (see at least ¶0083).

Art Unit: 3686 Page 31

Wilcox, further discloses:

 means for storing the time zone associated with the healthcare information (see at least Fig. 1, Fig. 3, ¶0014, ¶0017).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time of the invention, to have modified the method of Osario so as to have included the stored information associated with a time zone feature of Wilcox to comprehensively synchronize shared patient healthcare data among a medical professional network when diagnosing to have improved the efficiency of the method, since so doing could be performed readily and easily by any person of ordinary skill in the art, with neither undue experimentation, nor risk of unexpected results.

 Claim 47 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Osorio (US 2004/0133390 A1) in view of Wilcox (US 2005/0002483 A1) further in view of Olson (US 5,999,493 A).

55. Claim 47:

Osario and Wilcox disclose the limitations as shown in the rejection above.

However, Olson further discloses a third obtaining module for obtaining the stored date and time in Coordinated Universal Time (see at least column 1, line

Art Unit: 3686 Page 32

56 to column 2, line 5, column 5, line 3-5). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time of the invention, to have modified the method of Osario and Wilcox so as to have included the coordinated universal format features of Olson to comprehensively synchronize shared patient healthcare data among a medical professional network when diagnosing to have improved the efficiency of the method, since so doing could be performed readily and easily by any person of ordinary skill in the art, with neither undue experimentation, nor risk of unexpected results.

 Claim 48 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Osorio (US 2004/0133390 A1) in view of Wilcox (US 2005/0002483 A1) further in view of Overton (US 2003/0065653 A).

57. Claim 48:

Osario and Wilcox disclose the limitations as shown in the rejection above. However, Overton further discloses a second displaying module for displaying the healthcare information for the patient in chronological order (see at least column 6, lines 7-28). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time of the invention, to have modified the method of Osario and Wilcox so as to have included the chronological order feature of Overton to

Art Unit: 3686 Page 33

comprehensively synchronize patient healthcare data among a medical professional network when diagnosing to have improved the efficiency of the method, since so doing could be performed readily and easily by any person of ordinary skill in the art, with neither undue experimentation, nor risk of unexpected results.

Response to Arguments

- 58. Applicant' arguments with respect to claims 1-53 have been fully considered but are not persuasive. Applicant's arguments will be addressed herein below in the order in which they appear in the response filed 09/23/10.
- 59. (1) Applicant argues on the basis that the Osorio reference does not teach "an determining a time zone source rule that applies to the healthcare information and does not describe basing such a determination, at least partially, on a type of healthcare information". Rather, Osorio's system provides the ability to monitor a patient's clinical efficacy with testing treatment therapies, along with its time zone features as mentioned in paragraphs 77, 105—06, 110 and 122.
- 60. (2) Applicant argues on the basis that the Osorio or Olson references do not teach "gathering and storing data elements for later presentation to a user" or "collecting healthcare information, storing the information with an associated time zone indication, and presenting the information with respect to the associated

Art Unit: 3686 Page 34

time zone". Rather, Osorio's system allows any treating personnel to analyze a patient's treatment and progress using the data storage, display and analysis capacities, along with its time zone features as mentioned in paragraphs 45 and 49

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Applicant's amendment necessitated any new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Art Unit: 3686 Page 35

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or concerning this communication or earlier communications from the Examiner should be directed to **Teresa Woods** whose telephone number is **571.270.5509**. The Examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 9:30am-5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the Examiner's supervisor, **Jerry O'Connor** can be reached at **571.272.6787**.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://portal.usplo.gov/external/portal/pair. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866.217.9197 (toll-free).

/T. W./ Examiner, Art Unit 3686 11/19/10